arXiv:math/0608704v2 [math.DG] 4 Jun 2008

Left-invariant almost nearly Kähler structures on $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ in the tetrahedron visualization for $\mathbb{CP}^3$.

Natalia Daurtseva
Russia, Kemerovo State University
natali0112@ngs.ru

Key-words: nearly Kähler structure, complex projective space, almost complex structures
AMS classification:53C15, 51A05

Abstract

The set of maximal non-integrable structures $(SU(2)\times SU(2), B, I)$, where B is Killing-Cartan metric is described as subset of $\mathbb{CP}^3$. The visualization of complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^3$ as tetrahedron which edges and faces are $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is used.

1. Preliminaries.

Definition 1. *An almost complex structure J on a smooth manifold M is an endomorphism*

$$J : TM \longrightarrow TM$$

of the tangent bundle such that $J^2 = -Id$.

The almost complex manifold necessarily has even dimension. It is known [4], that every almost complex structure defines the orientation on M. It follows from the fact that for all J_x-independent vectors $X_1, \dots, X_n \in T_xM$ the vectors $X_1, \dots, X_n, J_xX_1, \dots, J_xX_n \in T_xM$ are linear independent and give the same orientation of T_xM.

Definition 2. *Manifold (M^{2n}, g, J_0) with almost complex structure J_0 and Riemannian metric g is called almost Hermitian, if J_0 is orthogonal with respect to metric g, i.e.*

$$g(J_0X, J_0Y) = g(X, Y),$$

for all vector fields X and Y on M.

Let M is 6-dimensional group Lie G. In this article we take interest in the left-invariant orthogonal almost complex structures, giving the same orientation on the group $G = SU(2) \times SU(2)$. This reduces study of almost complex structure to reseach its restriction on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_eG$ of G. Study of the space of left-invariant orthogonal almost complex structures on G reduces to reseach the set $\mathcal{Z}$ of all endomorphisms $I : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, preserving orientation, such that $I^2 = -1$, and $g_e(IX, IY) = g_e(X, Y)$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Group $SO(6)$ acts transitively on the set $\mathcal{Z}$, with isotropy $U(3)$, so $\mathcal{Z}$ is homogeneous space $SO(6)/U(3) = \mathbb{CP}^3$.

There is isomorphism between space $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathbb{CP}^3$ [1]. Let $e^1, \dots, e^6$ is dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^*$, orthonormal with respect to metric on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. $V = \mathbb{C}^4$ denote the standard representation of $SU(4)$ and let (v^0, v^1, v^2, v^3) be a unitary basis of V. Then $\Lambda^2 V$ is the complexification of a real vector space which we identify with $\mathfrak{g}^*$. This identification can be chosen in such a way that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2v^0 \wedge v^1 = e^1 + ie^2 & 2v^2 \wedge v^3 = e^1 - ie^2 \\ 2v^0 \wedge v^2 = e^3 + ie^4 & 2v^3 \wedge v^1 = e^3 - ie^4 \\ 2v^0 \wedge v^3 = e^5 + ie^6 & 2v^1 \wedge v^2 = e^5 - ie^6 \end{array}$$

A point $I \in \mathcal{Z}$ corresponds to a totally isotropic subspace of the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Namely to the i-eigenspace of I, which consists of vectors $v - iJv$. Any such subspace equals [1]:

$$V_u = \{u \wedge v : v \in V\} \subset \Lambda^2 V,$$

which depends on $[u] \in P(V) = \mathbb{CP}^3$.

$$J \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{1,0} \longrightarrow V_u \longrightarrow [u] \in \mathbb{CP}^3$$

For example, if $Ie^1 = -e^2, Ie^3 = -e^4, Ie^5 = -e^6$, then i-eigenspace of I consists of linear combination of vectors

$$2v^0 \wedge v^1 = e^1 + ie^2, 2v^0 \wedge v^2 = e^3 + ie^4, 2v^0 \wedge v^3 = e^5 + ie^6$$

In [1] was offered to visualize $\mathbb{CP}^3$ as a tetrahedron, in which the edges end faces represent projective subspaces $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\mathbb{CP}^2$. The almost complex structures I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3:

$$\begin{gathered} I_0e^1 = -e^2, I_0e^3 = -e^4, I_0e^5 = -e^6; \\ I_1e^1 = -e^2, I_1e^3 = e^4, I_1e^5 = e^6; \\ I_2e^1 = e^2, I_2e^3 = -e^4, I_2e^5 = e^6; \\ I_3e^1 = e^2, I_3e^3 = e^4, I_3e^5 = -e^6; \end{gathered}$$

are points $[1,0,0,0],[0,1,0,0],[0,0,1,0],[0,0,0,1] \in \mathbb{CP}^3$. Each of these structures associated with the fundamental 2-forms, by formula:

$$\omega(X,Y) = g(IX,Y)$$
$$\omega_0 = e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 + e^5 \wedge e^6;$$
$$\omega_1 = e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^4 - e^5 \wedge e^6;$$
$$\omega_2 = -e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 - e^5 \wedge e^6;$$
$$\omega_3 = -e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^4 + e^5 \wedge e^6.$$

Since the metric g is fixed, one may equally well label points of $\mathcal{Z}$ by their corresponding fundamental forms. Coordinates $[v^0,v^1,v^2,v^3]$ on $\mathbb{CP}^3$ are helpful to visualize $\mathcal{Z}$ as solid tetrahedron with vertices $\omega_0,\omega_1,\omega_2,\omega_3$.

Let $z=[z^0,z^1,z^2,z^3]$ and $u=[u^0,u^1,u^2,u^3]$ are arbitrary points in $\mathbb{CP}^3$. Any point of the "edge" E_{zu}, containing z and u in $\mathbb{CP}^3$ is linear combination $\alpha z+\beta u$, where $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha|+|\beta|\neq 0$. But $\alpha z+\beta u$ and $c(\alpha z+\beta u)$ define the same point in $\mathbb{CP}^3$, for any $c\in\mathbb{C}^*$. So "edge", $E_{zu}=\{[\alpha,\beta]:\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{C},\quad |\alpha|+|\beta|\neq 0\}=\mathbb{CP}^1=S^2$. The equatorial circle in the "edge" E_{zu} we denote as C_{zu}.

Lemma 1. *The fundamental 2-form ω of "edge" E_{01}, containing vertices ω_0 and ω_1 has the form:*

$$\omega = e^1\wedge e^2 + r(e^3\wedge e^4 + e^5\wedge e^6) + u(e^3\wedge e^5 + e^6\wedge e^4) + x(e^3\wedge e^6 + e^4\wedge e^5)$$

where $r^2+u^2+x^2=1$

Proof: For any $\omega\in E_{01}$ there exist numbers $s,c_1,c_2\in\mathbb{R}$, $s^2+c_1^2+c_2^2=1$ such as corresponding almost complex structure $I=sI_0+(c_1+ic_2)I_1$.

$$s[1,0,0,0]+(c_1+ic_2)[0,1,0,0]=[s,c_1+ic_2,0,0]$$

Space $V_{[s,c_1+ic_2,0,0]}=\{sv^0+(c_1+ic_2)v^1\wedge u, u\in V\}$.

$$(sv^0+(c_1+ic_2)v^1)\wedge v^0=(c_1+ic_2)v^1\wedge v^0=-\frac{1}{2}(c_1+ic_2)(e^1+ie^2)=$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}(-c_1e^1+c_2e^2+i(-c_2e^1-c_1e^2))$$

i.e.:

$$I(-c_1e^1+c_2e^2)=c_2e^1+c_1e^2$$

$$(sv^0+(c_1+ic_2)v^1)\wedge v^1=sv^0\wedge v^1=\frac{1}{2}s(e^1+ie^2)=\frac{1}{2}(se^1+ise^2)$$

$$I(se^1)=-se^2$$

$$(sv^0+(c_1+ic_2)v^1)\wedge v^2=sv^0\wedge v^2+(c_1+ic_2)v^1\wedge v^2=\frac{1}{2}(s(e^3+ie^4)+(c_1+ic_2)(e^5-ie^6))=$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(se^3 + c_1e^5 + c_2e^6 + i(se^4 + c_2e^5 - c_1e^6))$$

$$I(se^3 + c_1e^5 + c_2e^6) = -(se^4 + c_2e^5 - c_1e^6)$$

$$(sv^0+(c_1+ic_2)v^1)\wedge v^3 = sv^0\wedge v^3+(c_1+ic_2)v^1\wedge v^3 = \frac{1}{2}(s(e^5+ie^6)+(c_1+ic_2)(-e^3+ie^4)) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(se^5 - c_1e^3 - c_2e^4 + i(se^6 - c_2e^3 + c_1e^4))$$

$$I(se^5 - c_1e^3 - c_2e^4) = -(se^6 - c_2e^3 + c_1e^4)$$

Then $\omega \in E_{01}$, corresponding to I has the form:

$$\omega = (-c_1e^1+c^2e^2)\wedge(-c_2e^1-c^1e^2)+se^1\wedge se^2+(se^3+c_1e^5+c_2e^6)\wedge(se^4+c_2e^5-c_1e^6)+$$

$$+(se^5-c_1e^3-c_2e^4)\wedge(se^6-c_2e^3+c_1e^4) = e^1\wedge e^2+(s^2-c_1^2-c_2^2)e^3\wedge e^4+2sc_2e^3\wedge e^5-$$

$$-2sc_1e^3 \wedge e^6 - 2sc_1e^4 \wedge e^5 - 2sc_2e^4 \wedge e^6 + (s^2 - c_1^2 - c_2^2)e^5 \wedge e^6$$

Let $r = s^2 - c_1^2 - c_2^2 = 2s^2 - 1$, $u = 2sc_2$, $x = -2sc_1$, then $r^2 + x^2 + u^2 = 1$, and

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t & u & -x \\ 0 & 0 & -t & 0 & -x & -u \\ 0 & 0 & -u & x & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & x & u & -t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

The following notions was defined in the [1].

Definition 3. *Let $\sigma = e \wedge f$ - decomposable unit 2-form, the generalized edge $\lceil\sigma\rfloor$ is the set*

$$\lceil\sigma\rfloor = \{\sigma + \tau \in \mathcal{Z} : \tau \in \Lambda^2\langle e, f\rangle^{\perp}\}.$$

Definition 4. *The polar set of an arbitrary non-zero 2-form σ is*

$$\langle\sigma\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\perp} = \{\omega \in \mathcal{Z} : g(\omega, \sigma) = 0\}$$

2. Group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. As Lie group G we will take group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{su}(2) = \{\begin{pmatrix} ix_1 & x_2 + ix_3 \\ x_2 - ix_3 & -ix_1 \end{pmatrix} : (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\} = \mathbb{R}^3,$$

so $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{su}(2) = \mathbb{R}^3_1 \times \mathbb{R}^3_2$. If e_1, e_2, e_3 - standard basis $\mathbb{R}^3_1$ and e_4, e_5, e_6 - standard basis $\mathbb{R}^3_2$, then $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$, $[e_1, e_3] = -e_2$, $[e_2, e_3] = e_1$, $[e_4, e_5] = e_6$, $[e_4, e_6] = -e_5$, $[e_5, e_6] = e_4$, $[e_i, e_j] = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3; j = 4, 5, 6$.

Killing-Cartan form $B(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}X \circ \mathrm{ad}Y)$ gives metric $B(X,Y) = 2(X_1, Y_1) + 2(X_2, Y_2)$, where $(\ , \ )$ - standard metric $\mathbb{R}^3$, $X = (X_1, Y_1)$, $Y = (X_2, Y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3_1 \times \mathbb{R}^3_2$ on $SU(2) \times SU(2)$.

The construction of Hopf bundle $\pi : S^3 \xrightarrow{S^1} \mathbb{CP}^1$ may be used for $S^3 = SU(2)$. Therefore, one has for $SU(2) \times SU(2)$:

$$\pi : SU(2) \times SU(2) \overset{SU(1)\times SU(1)}{\longrightarrow} SU(2)/SU(1) \times SU(2)/SU(1)$$

One can assume that e_1 and e_4 are tangent at the identity to the first and second factors of fiber $SU(1) \times SU(1)$, and $\pi_*(e_2)$, $\pi_*(e_3)$, $(\pi_*(e_5)$, $\pi_*(e_6))$ are tangent to first (second) factor of the base. Construction of the Hopf bundle allows define the canonical 2-form $\omega = e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3 + e^5 \wedge e^6$ on $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. It is known [4], that for almost complex structure I_0, such as $I_0 e_1 = e_4$, $I_0 e_2 = e_3$, $I_0 e_5 = e_6$, structure (B, I_0, ω) is Hermitian, i.e. almost complex structure I_0 is integrable.

Statement 1.[2]*Orthogonal left-invariant almost complex structure I is integrable, i.e. $(SU(2) \times SU(2), B, I)$ is Hermitian, if and only if corresponding endomorphism is following:*

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} O_1 & 0 \\ 0 & O_2 \end{pmatrix} I_0 \begin{pmatrix} O_1^T & 0 \\ 0 & O_2^T \end{pmatrix},$$

where $O_1, O_2 \in SO(3)$

Vanishing of Nijenhuis's tensor is criterion of integrability of almost complex structure. In case of homogeneous space, in particularly Lie group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ one can take only restriction of this tensor on the Lie algebra.

$$N(X,Y) = [IX, IY] - [X,Y] - I[X, IY] - I[IX, Y],$$

$X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{su}(2)$. So the set of complex structures is reperesented as set on which functional of tensor Nijenhuis norm

$$\|N\|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{6} (N^k_{ij})^2 : I \mapsto \|N_I\|^2$$

is minimal (namely, is equal to zero). What kind of set, given maximum of this functional?

Statement 2. *Functional on $\mathcal{Z}$ of tensor Nijenhuis norm on $(SU(2) \times SU(2), B, I)$, takes maximal values on the following almost complex structures:*

$$I < e_1, e_2, e_3 > \subset < e_4, e_5, e_6 >; I < e_4, e_5, e_6 > \subset < e_1, e_2, e_3 >$$

Proof: Let $I \in \mathcal{Z}$ is invariant almost complex structure. $I = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B^T & C \end{pmatrix}$, $-A^T = A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ -a_1 & 0 & a_3 \\ -a_2 & -a_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $-C^T = C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ -c_1 & 0 & c_3 \\ -c_2 & -c_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ b_4 & b_5 & b_6 \\ b_7 & b_8 & b_9 \end{pmatrix}$.

Tensor Nijenhuis norm is

$$\frac{1}{24}\|N\|^2 = (b_7c_1 - c_3b_9 + b_6b_1 - b_3b_4)^2 + (b_5b_9 - b_6b_8 - b_4a_1 - a_2b_7)^2+$$

$$+(-b_4b_2 + b_1b_5 + b_3a_2 + b_6a_3)^2 + (-a_2b_8 - b_4b_9 + b_6b_7 - b_5a_1)^2+$$

$$+(b_2b_9 - b_8b_3 - b_1a_1 + a_3b_7)^2 + (-a_3^2 - a_2^2 - a_1^2 + 1)^2 + (-a_2b_9 - b_5b_7 + b_4b_8 - b_6a_1)^2+$$

$$+(b_8c_3 + c_2b_7 - b_1b_5 + b_4b_2)^2 + (a_3b_9 - b_7b_2 + b_1b_8 - b_3a_1)^2+$$

$$+(a_3b_8 - b_9b_1 + b_3b_7 - b_2a_1)^2 + (-c_2b_4 - b_5c_3 + b_7b_2 - b_1b_8)^2+$$

$$+(-b_1a_2 - b_2b_6 + b_5b_3 - b_4a_3)^2 + (-c_2b_1 - b_2c_3 + b_4b_8 - b_5b_7)^2+$$

$$+(-c_3b_6 - b_9b_1 + b_3b_7 + b_4c_1)^2 + (c_2b_9 + b_2b_6 - b_5b_3 + c_1b_8)^2+$$

$$+(b_1c_1 - c_3b_3 - b_6b_7 + b_4b_9)^2 + (c_2b_6 - b_2b_9 + b_8b_3 + c_1b_5)^2+$$

$$+(-b_2a_2 - b_3b_4 + b_6b_1 - b_5a_3)^2 + (-c_3^2 - c_2^2 - c_1^2 + 1)^2 + (c_1b_2 + c_2b_3 - b_6b_8 + b_5b_9)^2$$

Some necessary conditions for $I^2 = -1$ are:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 & a_1b_4 + a_2b_7 - c_1b_2 - c_2b_3 = 0, \\
a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 = 1, & a_1b_5 + a_2b_8 + b_1c_1 - b_3c_3 = 0, \\
a_1^2 + a_3^2 + b_4^2 + b_5^2 + b_6^2 = 1, & a_1b_6 + a_2b_9 + b_1c_2 + b_2c_3 = 0, \\
a_2^2 + a_3^2 + b_7^2 + b_8^2 + b_9^2 = 1, & -a_1b_1 + a_3b_7 - c_1b_5 - c_2b_6 = 0, \\
c_1^2 + c_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 = 1, & -a_1b_3 + a_3b_9 + b_4c_2 + b_5c_3 = 0, \\
c_1^2 + c_3^2 + b_4^2 + b_5^2 + b_6^2 = 1, & -a_2b_1 - a_3b_4 - b_8c_1 - b_9c_2 = 0, \\
c_2^2 + c_3^2 + b_7^2 + b_8^2 + b_9^2 = 1, & -a_2b_2 - a_3b_5 + b_7c_1 - b_9c_3 = 0, \\
 & -a_2b_3 - a_3b_6 + b_7c_2 + b_8c_3 = 0 \\
 & -a_1b_2 + a_3b_8 + b_4c_1 - b_6c_3 = 0.
\end{array}$$

The equation

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2$$

follows from the above left six conditions. Let transform $\frac{1}{24}\|N\|^2$ taking into consideration above conditions:

$$\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{24}\|N\|^2 = (b_7c_1 - c_3b_9)^2 + 2(b_7c_1 - c_3b_9)(b_6b_1 - b_3b_4) + (b_6b_1 - b_3b_4)^2 + \\
+(b_4a_1 + a_2b_7)^2 - 2(b_4a_1 + a_2b_7)(b_5b_9 - b_6b_8) + (b_5b_9 - b_6b_8)^2 + \\
+(b_3a_2 + b_6a_3)^2 - 2(b_3a_2 + b_6a_3)(b_4b_2 - b_1b_5) + (b_4b_2 - b_1b_5)^2 + \\
+(b_5a_1 + b_8a_2)^2 + 2(b_5a_1 + b_8a_2)(b_4b_9 - b_6b_7) + (b_4b_9 - b_6b_7)^2 + \\
+(b_7a_3 - b_1a_1)^2 - 2(b_7a_3 - b_1a_1)(b_8b_3 - b_2b_9) + (b_8b_3 - b_2b_9)^2 + \\
2(1 - c_1^2 - c_2^2 - c_3^2)^2 + (b_9a_2 + b_6a_1)^2 - 2(b_9a_2 + b_6a_1)(b_8b_4 - b_5b_7) + (b_8b_4 - b_5b_7)^2 + \\
+(b_8c_3 + b_7c_2)^2 + 2(b_8c_3 + b_7c_2)(b_4b_2 - b_1b_5) + (b_4b_2 - b_1b_5)^2 + \\
+(b_9a_3 - b_3a_1)^2 - 2(b_9a_3 - b_3a_1)(b_7b_2 - b_1b_8) + (b_7b_2 - b_1b_8)^2 + \\
+(b_8a_3 - b_2a_1)^2 + 2(b_8a_3 - b_2a_1)(b_7b_3 - b_1b_9) + (b_7b_3 - b_1b_9)^2 + \\
+(b_4c_2 + b_5c_3)^2 - 2(b_4c_2 + b_5c_3)(b_7b_2 - b_1b_8) + (b_7b_2 - b_1b_8)^2 + \\
+(b_1a_2 + b_4a_3)^2 + 2(b_1a_2 + b_4a_3)(b_6b_2 - b_3b_5) + (b_6b_2 - b_3b_5)^2 + \\
+(b_1c_2 + b_2c_3)^2 - 2(b_1c_2 + b_2c_3)(b_8b_4 - b_5b_7) + (b_8b_4 - b_5b_7)^2 + \\
+(b_4c_1 - b_6c_3)^2 + 2(b_4c_1 - b_6c_3)(b_7b_3 - b_1b_9) + (b_7b_3 - b_1b_9)^2 + \\
+(b_9c_2 + b_8c_1)^2 + 2(b_9c_2 + b_8c_1)(b_6b_2 - b_3b_5) + (b_6b_2 - b_3b_5)^2 + \\
+(b_1c_1 - b_3c_3)^2 + 2(b_1c_1 - b_3c_3)(b_4b_9 - b_6b_7) + (b_4b_9 - b_6b_7)^2 + \\
+(b_6c_2 + b_5c_1)^2 + 2(b_6c_2 + b_5c_1)(b_8b_3 - b_2b_9) + (b_8b_3 - b_2b_9)^2 + \\
+(b_2a_2 + a_3b_5)^2 - 2(b_2a_2 + a_3b_5)(b_6b_1 - b_3b_4) + (b_6b_1 - b_3b_4)^2 + \\
+(b_2c_1 + c_2b_3)^2 + 2(b_2c_1 + c_2b_3)(b_5b_9 - b_6b_8) + (b_5b_9 - b_6b_8)^2 = \\
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_2a_2 + a_3b_5 = b_7c_1 - b_9c_3; & b_4a_1 + a_2b_7 = b_2c_1 + c_2b_3; & b_3a_2 + a_3b_6 = b_7c_2 + b_8c_3; \\
b_5a_1 + b_8a_2 = -b_1c_1 + b_3c_3; & b_7a_3 - b_1a_1 = b_6c_2 + b_5c_1; & b_9a_2 + b_6a_1 = -b_1c_2 - b_2c_3; \\
b_9a_3 - b_3a_1 = -b_4c_2 - b_5c_3; & b_8a_3 - b_2a_1 = -b_4c_1 + b_6c_3; & b_1a_2 + b_4a_3 = -b_9c_2 - b_8c_1.
\end{array}\right] \\
= 2((b_6b_1 - b_3b_4)^2 + (b_5b_9 - b_6b_8)^2 + (b_1b_5 - b_4b^2)^2 + (b_6b_7 - b_4b_9)^2 + \\
+(b_2b_9 - b_3b_8)^2 + (b_1b_8 - b_2b_7)^2 + (b_4b_8 - b_5b_7)^2 + (b_3b_7 - b_1b_9)^2 + (b_2b_6 - b_3b_5)^2 + \\
+(b_7c_1 - c_3b_9)^2 + (c_1b_2 + c_2b_3)^2 + (c_2b_7 + c_3b_8)^2 + (c_1b_1 - b_3c_3)^2 + (c_1b_5 + c_2b_6)^2 + \\
+(c_2b_4 + c_3b_5)^2 + (c_2b_1 + c_3b_2)^2 + (c_1b_4 - c_3b_6)^2 + (c_2b_9 + c_1b_8)^2 + (1 - c_1^2 - c_2^2 - c_3^2)^2).
\end{gathered}$$

The sum of first nine summands is norm of matrix B^a, where B^a is matrix of algebraical complements to B. Assume that $\det B = 0$, from the proof of Statement 1 [2] one can see that it is sufficient condition for integrability, so corresponding almost complex structure gives $N = 0$. We interest in maximal values of $\|N\|^2$, thus $\det B \neq 0$. We obtain:

$$\|B^a\|^2 = \mathrm{tr}(B^{aT}B^a) = \mathrm{tr}(\det B \cdot B^{-1} \cdot \det B \cdot (B^T)^{-1}) = (\det B)^2 \mathrm{tr}(B^TB)^{-1}$$

Condition $I^2 = -1$ gives $B^TB = 1 + C^2$, so:

$$\|B^a\|^2 = (\det B)^2 \cdot \mathrm{tr}(1 + C^2)^{-1} = \det(1 + C^2) \cdot \mathrm{tr}(1 + C^2)^{-1}$$

where $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2,\ \lambda_3$ are proper values of matrix $1 + C^2$:

$$\|B^a\|^2 = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3(\lambda_1^{-1} + \lambda_2^{-1} + \lambda_3^{-1}) = \lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_1\lambda_3$$

Sum $\lambda_1\lambda_2+\lambda_2\lambda_3+\lambda_1\lambda_3$ is equal to coefficient multiplying λ in $\det(1+C^2-\lambda)$:

$$\|B^a\|^2 = c_1^4 + c_2^4 + c_3^4 - 4(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2) + 3 + 2c_1^2c_2^2 + 2c_1^2c_3^2 + 2c_2^2c_3^2$$

Sum of last ten summands $\frac{1}{48}\|N\|^2$ is equal to:

$$1 - c_1^4 - c_2^4 - c_3^4 - 2c_1^2c_3^2 - 2c_1^2c_2^2 - 2c_2^2c_3^2$$

Thus:

$$\frac{1}{48}\|N\|^2 = 4(1 - c_1^2 - c_2^2 - c_3^2),$$

$$\|N\| = 8\sqrt{3}\sqrt{1 - c_1^2 - c_2^2 - c_3^2}$$

Then $\max_{J\in\mathcal{Z}}(\|N\|) = 8\sqrt{3}$, this value is reached on almost complex structures J, with $C = A = 0$.

□

Definition 5.[3] *The structure (M,g,J) is called nearly Kähler if* $\nabla_X(\omega)(X, Y) = 0$*, for all vector fields X, Y on M. The set of all nearly Kähler structures* (g, J) *is denoted* $\mathcal{N}K$*.*

Almost complex structures from the statement 2 satisfy to condition

$$\nabla_{e_i}(\omega)(e_i, e_j) = 0, \qquad \forall i = 1, \ldots, 6,$$

but for some vectors $X = u + v$, where u is in first summand $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and v is in second summand one can find vector Y:

$$\nabla_X(\omega)(X, Y) \neq 0,$$

for example

$$\nabla_{e_2+e_4}(\omega)(e_2+e_4,e_1)=-1$$

So, the maximal non-integrable structures (B,I) from Statement 2 we will call the almost nearly Kähler $(\mathcal{A}NK)$.

Let realize integrable almost complex structure I_0 and $\mathcal{A}NK$ structure $I_N=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ as points in $\mathbb{CP}^3$.

Lemma 2. $I_0=[1,0,0,-1]\in\mathbb{CP}^3$, $I_N=[1,1,-1,1]\in\mathbb{CP}^3$.

Proof: Find eigenspace, corresponding to I_0 in $\Lambda^2 V$.

$$\begin{gathered} I_0(e^1+ie^4)=i(e^1+ie^4); \\ e^1+ie^4=v^0\wedge v^1+v^2\wedge v^3+v^0\wedge v^2-v^3\wedge v^1=(v^0-v^3)\wedge(v^1+v^2); \\ I_0(e^2+ie^3)=i(e^2+ie^3); \\ e^2+ie^3=-iv^0\wedge v^1+iv^2\wedge v^3+iv^0\wedge v^2+iv^3\wedge v^1=(v^0-v^3)\wedge(-iv^1+iv^2); \\ I_0(e^5+ie^6)=i(e^5+ie^6); \\ e^5+ie^6=2v^0\wedge v^3=(v^0-v^3)\wedge 2v^3. \end{gathered}$$

Therefore I_0 corresponds to $V_{v^0-v^3}$ and $I_0=[1,0,0,-1]$.

Similarly for I_N.

$$\begin{gathered} I_N(e^1-ie^4)=e^4+ie^1=i(e^1-ie^4); \\ e^1-ie^4=v^0\wedge v^1+v^2\wedge v^3-v^0\wedge v^2+v^3\wedge v^1=(v^0+v^3)\wedge(v^1-v^2); \\ I_N(e^2-ie^5)=e^5+ie^2=i(e^2-ie^5); \\ e^2-ie^5=-iv^0\wedge v^1+iv^2\wedge v^3-iv^0\wedge v^3-iv^1\wedge v^2=(-v^0+v^2)\wedge(iv^1+iv^3); \\ I_N(e^3-ie^6)=e^6+ie^3=i(e^3-ie^6); \\ e^3-ie^6=v^0\wedge v^2+v^3\wedge v^1+v^1\wedge v^2-v^0\wedge v^3=(v^0+v^1)\wedge(v^2-v^3). \end{gathered}$$

Therefore I_N corresponds to $V_{v^0+v^3-v^2+v^1}$, $I_N=[1,1,-1,1]$.

$\square$

Find the subset in tetrahedron, corresponding to $\mathcal{ANK}$.

Under the statement 2, the 2-form corresponding to $I\in\mathcal{ANK}$ is following:

$$\omega=e^4\wedge f^1+e^5\wedge f^2+e^6\wedge f^3,$$

where $f^i=Ae^i,\quad i=1,2,3$. As $Ie^6\subset\langle e^1,e^2,e^3\rangle$, then 2-form $e^5\wedge e^6$ has the zero coefficient, i.e. $\mathcal{ANK}\subset\langle e^5\wedge e^6\rangle^{\perp}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Elements of $\langle e^5\wedge e^6\rangle^{\perp}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ are described in [1]: take an arbitrary point p_+ on edge $E_{03}=\lceil e^5\wedge e^6\rfloor$ and arbitrary point $p_-\in E_{12}=\lceil -e^5\wedge e^6\rfloor$. Connect these points by edge (build the sphere with poles p_+ and p_-). Then union of all equatorial circles of edges $E_{p_+p_-}$,

$p_+ \in E_{03}$, $p_- \in E_{12}$ is $\langle e^5 \wedge e^6 \rangle^{\perp}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, so

$$\mathcal{ANK} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{p_+ \in E_{03} \\ p_- \in E_{12}}} C_{p_+p_-}.$$

Let define the general view of form $\omega \in C_{p_+p_-}$.

Lemma 3. *Form $\omega \in C_{p_+p_-}$ is:*

$$\begin{gathered}
2\omega = (r_+ + r_-)e^1 \wedge e^2 + (r_+ - r_-)e^3 \wedge e^4 + (x_+ + x_-)e^1 \wedge e^3 + (x_+ - x_-)e^4 \wedge e^2 + \\
(u_+ + u_-)e^1 \wedge e^4 + (u_+ - u_-)e^2 \wedge e^3 + \\
+ \frac{(x_+t_1 - u_+t_2)(r_- + 1) + (u_-t_2 - x_-t_1)(r_+ + 1)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^1 \wedge e^5 + \\
+ \frac{-(x_+t_2 + u_+t_1)(r_- + 1) + (u_-t_1 + x_-t_2)(r_+ + 1)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^1 \wedge e^6 + \\
+ \frac{(x_+t_2 + u_+t_1)(r_- + 1) + (u_-t_1 + x_-t_2)(r_+ + 1)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^2 \wedge e^5 + \\
+ \frac{(x_+t_1 - u_+t_2)(r_- + 1) - (u_-t_2 - x_-t_1)(r_+ + 1)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^2 \wedge e^6 + \\
+ \frac{-t_2(r_+ + 1)(r_- + 1) + u_-(x_+t_1 - u_+t_2) + x_-(u_+t_1 + x_+t_2)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^3 \wedge e^5 + \\
+ \frac{-t_1(r_+ + 1)(r_- + 1) - u_-(x_+t_2 + u_+t_1) + x_-(x_+t_1 - u_+t_2)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^3 \wedge e^6 + \\
+ \frac{-t_1(r_+ + 1)(r_- + 1) + u_-(x_+t_2 + u_+t_1) - x_-(x_+t_1 - u_+t_2)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^4 \wedge e^5 + \\
+ \frac{t_2(r_+ + 1)(r_- + 1) + u_-(x_+t_1 - u_+t_2) + x_-(u_+t_1 + x_+t_2)}{\sqrt{(r_- + 1)(r_+ + 1)}} e^4 \wedge e^6
\end{gathered}$$

for $r_\pm \neq -1$;

$2\omega = -e^1 \wedge e^2 + t_2(e^3 \wedge e^5 + e^4 \wedge e^6) + t_1(e^3 \wedge e^6 - e^4 \wedge e^5)$, *for $r_\pm = -1$;*

$2\omega = \frac{r-1}{2}e^1 \wedge e^2 + t_1\sqrt{\frac{r+1}{2}}(e^1 \wedge e^6 + e^2 \wedge e^5) + \frac{u}{2}(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3) + \frac{x}{2}(e^1 \wedge e^3 - e^2 \wedge e^4) - t_2\sqrt{\frac{r+1}{2}}(e^1 \wedge e^5 - e^2 \wedge e^6) + \frac{r+1}{2}e^3 \wedge e^4 + \frac{xt_1 - ut_2}{\sqrt{2(r+1)}}(e^4 \wedge e^6 + e^3 \wedge e^5) + \frac{ut_1 + xt_2}{\sqrt{2(r+1)}}(e^4 \wedge e^5 - e^3 \wedge e^6)$, *for $r = r_+ \neq -1$, $r_- = -1$.*

$2\omega = \frac{r-1}{2}e^1 \wedge e^2 + \frac{u}{2}(e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3) + \frac{x}{2}(e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4) + t_1\sqrt{\frac{r+1}{2}}(e^1 \wedge e^6 -$

$e^2 \wedge e^5) + t_2\sqrt{\frac{r+1}{2}}(e^1 \wedge e^5 + e^2 \wedge e^6) + \frac{ut_1+xt_2}{\sqrt{2(r+1)}}(e^3 \wedge e^6 - e^4 \wedge e^5) + \frac{ut_2-xt_1}{\sqrt{2(r+1)}}(e^3 \wedge e^5 + e^4 \wedge e^6) - \frac{1+r}{2}e^3 \wedge e^4$, *for* $r = r_- \neq -1,\ r_+ = -1$.

Proof: Similarly lemma 2 one can show, that $p_+ \in E_{03}$ and $p_- \in E_{12}$ are of view:

$$p_+ = e^5 \wedge e^6 + r_+(e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4) + x_+(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3) + u_+(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3),$$

$$p_- = -e^5 \wedge e^6 + r_-(e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^4) + x_-(e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3) + u_-(e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3),$$

where $r_+^2 + x_+^2 + u_+^2 = 1 \;\; r_-^2 + x_-^2 + u_-^2 = 1$.

Points in $\mathbb{CP}^3$, corresponding p_+ and p_- are:

$$p_- = \left[0, \sqrt{\frac{r_- + 1}{2}}, \frac{u_- + ix_-}{\sqrt{2(r_- + 1)}}, 0\right], \quad p_+ = \left[\sqrt{\frac{r_+ + 1}{2}}, 0, 0, \frac{-u_+ + ix_+}{\sqrt{2(r_+ + 1)}}\right], r_\pm \neq 0$$

$$p_- = [0, 0, 1, 0];\ p_+ = [0, 0, 0, 1],\ r_\pm = -1.$$

Let consider sphere - "edge" $E_{p_+p_-}$ now. A point p of this edge is:

$$p = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{2}}p_- + \frac{t_1+it_2}{\sqrt{2(1-t)}}p_+, & t^2 + t_1^2 + t_2^2 = 1, t \neq 1 \\ p_+, \quad t = 1, t_1 = t_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

For $t \neq 1, r_\pm \neq -1$ we have: $2p = (t_1 + it_2)\sqrt{\frac{r_++1}{1-t}}v^0 + \sqrt{(1-t)(r_- + 1)}v^1 + \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{1+r_-}}(u_- + ix_-)v^2 + \frac{(-u_++ix_+)(t_1+it_2)}{\sqrt{(r_++1)(1-t)}}v^3$. Calculate $p \wedge v^0$:
$2p\wedge v^0 = \sqrt{(1-t)(r_- + 1)}v^1\wedge v^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{1+r_-}}(u_- + ix_-)v^2\wedge v^0 + \frac{(-u_++ix_+)(t_1+it_2)}{\sqrt{(r_++1)(1-t)}}v^3\wedge v^0 = \frac{1}{2}[\sqrt{(1-t)(r_- + 1)}(-e^1 - ie^2) + \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{1+r_-}}(u_- + ix_-)(-e^3 - ie^4) + \frac{(-u_++ix_+)(t_1+it_2)}{\sqrt{(r_++1)(1-t)}}(-e^5 - ie^6)] = \frac{1}{2}[-\sqrt{(1-t)(r_- + 1)}e^1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{1+r_-}}u_-e^3 + \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{1+r_-}}x_-e^4 + \frac{u_+t_1+x_+t_2}{\sqrt{(r_++1)(1-t)}}e^5 + \frac{x_+t_1-u_+t_2}{\sqrt{(r_++1)(1-t))}}e^6 + i(-\sqrt{(1-t)(r_- + 1)}e^2 - \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{1+r_-}}x_-e^3 - - \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{1+r_-}}u_-e^4 - \frac{x_+t_1-u_+t_2}{\sqrt{(r_++1)(1-t))}}e^5 + \frac{u_+t_1+x_+t_2}{\sqrt{(r_++1)(1-t)}}e^6)] = u_0 + iv_0$ Similarly we can calculate $2p \wedge v^j = u_j + iv_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then find $\omega = u_0 \wedge v_0 + u_1 \wedge v_1 + u_2 \wedge v_2 + u_3 \wedge v_3$. For $t = 0$ we find form in equatorial circle $C_{p_+p_-}$.

By analogous we can find points of equatorial circle, for $r_\pm = -1$, or $r_+ = -1, r_- \neq -1$, $r_- = -1, r_+ \neq -1$.

□

Theorem. *The set of maximal non-integrable structures*

$$\mathcal{ANK} = \bigcup C_{p_+p_-},$$

where $p_+ = e^5 \wedge e^6 + r(e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4) + x(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3) + u(e^1 \wedge e^4 + e^2 \wedge e^3)$, $p_- = -e^5 \wedge e^6 - r(e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^4) - x(e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3) + u(e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3)$, $r^2 + x^2 + u^2 = 1$

Proof. As 2-form ω corresponding to almost complex structure $I \in \mathcal{ANK}$ is of view:

$$\omega = e^4 \wedge f^1 + e^5 \wedge f^2 + e^6 \wedge f^3, \text{ where } f^i = Ae^i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

then in formula for $\omega \in C_{p_+p_-}$ we must claim vanishing of coefficient near the forms $e^1 \wedge e^2, e^1 \wedge e^3, e^2 \wedge e^3, e^4 \wedge e^5, e^4 \wedge e^6$. This requirement gives $r_+ = -r_- = r$, $x_+ = -x_- = x$, $u_+ = u_- = u$.

□

References

[1] Abbena E., Garbiero S., Salamon S.: "Almost hermitian geometry on six dimentional nilmanifolds", Preprint – arXiv:math.DG/0007066; http://xxx.lanl.gov, (2000),22 p.

[2] Daurtseva N.A. "Invariant complex structures on $S^3 \times S^3$", *El.journal "Investigated in Russia"*,(2004),81e. pp.888-893, http://zhurnal.ape.relarn.ru/articles/2004/081e.pdf

[3] Gray A., Hervella L.M.:"The sixteen classes of almost hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants",*Ann. Math. Pura Appl*,(1980),Vol. 123,pp.35–58.

[4] Kobayashi S.,Nomizu K.:"Foundations of differential geometry", Vol.2, *Intersciense Publishers*, New York, London, (1969).